

## REMARKS

Claims 1-11 have been presented for examination in the above-identified U.S. Patent Application.

Claims 1-3, and 6-11 have been rejected in the Office Action dated June 27, 2006.

Claims 4, and 5 have been objected to in the Office Action.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-8, and 10 have been amended by this Amendment A.

Claim 1-11 are still in the Application and reconsideration of the Application is hereby respectfully requested.

Referring to Paragraphs 1-5 of the Office Action, Claims 3, 4, and 7 have been objected to because of informalities in the Claims kindly pointed out by Examiner. The informalities in Claims 3, 4, and 7 have been corrected by this Amendment A. Therefore, objection to Claims 3, 4, and 7 have been answered by Amendment.

Referring to Paragraphs 6-16 of the Office Action, Claims 1, 3, 6-8, and 10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 as failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. Examiner has pointed out at least one ambiguity in each of the rejected Claims. Each ambiguity cited by Examiner has been corrected by this Amendment A.


Therefore, rejection of Claims 1, 3, 6-8, and 10 has been answered by amendment.

By virtue of the foregoing amendments, it is believed that Claims 1-11 are now in condition for allowance.

### CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing discussion and the foregoing amendments, it is believed that Claims 1-11 are now in condition for allowance and allowance of Claims 1-11 is respectfully requested. Applicants hereby respectfully request a timely Notice of Allowance be issued for this Application.

Respectfully submitted,



William W. Holloway  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 26,182

Texas Instruments Incorporated  
PO Box 655474, MS 3999  
Dallas, TX 75265  
(281) 274-4064  
Dated: October 3, 2006